

How to Avoid a Mismatch in Mentoring (and Coaching)

Match quality is pivotal in mentoring and coaching relationships and plays a significant role in influencing the outcomes of mentoring and coaching interventions. This whitepaper reveals that successful mentoring and coaching hinges largely on the quality of the relationship between mentor/coach and mentee/coachee, emphasising that compatibility, rapport, trust, and commitment are critical to forming a strong bond that facilitates positive mentoring and coaching outcomes.

This whitepaper will refer to mentoring only, yet the theory and practical application of solutions applies equally to both mentoring and coaching due to their innate similarity in relationship development.

Importance of the Mentoring Relationship

Research consistently highlights the mentoring relationship as a decisive factor in the success of mentoring interventions. In fact, the relationship itself is often the most crucial element rather than specific mentoring techniques (De Haan et al., 2011). The foundation of this relationship is trust, which fosters openness and transparency—key attributes that enable clients to feel safe enough to reveal vulnerabilities and work through personal and professional challenges. Without a strong relationship, even the best mentoring tools may fail to deliver the desired outcomes (Gyllensten & Palmer, 2007).

Building trust is a multi-faceted process, where the mentor's credibility—anchored in qualifications, experience, and demeanour—plays an essential role. **TrustEngine**, a cutting-edge tool for matching individuals based on key elements such as values, experience and personality, has proven invaluable in modern organisational settings. By using **TrustEngine** to facilitate initial pairings, organisations can ensure that the highest level of trust is built right from the start, allowing the mentoring relationship to flourish more quickly. **TrustEngine's** algorithm considers factors like the mentor and mentee's compatibility, commonality, and shared experiences to streamline the process of finding the right match to enhance rapport and trust.

Characteristics of a Good Match

A good match between mentor and mentee significantly enhances the relationship, which in turn boosts the effectiveness of mentoring. A "good match" is not merely about surface similarities like education or demographics; it involves deeper alignment in values, life experiences, and behavioural preferences (Boyce et al., 2010). Commonality in personal characteristics or experiences helps bridge initial gaps in understanding, while credibility—particularly in areas relevant to the mentee's goals—ensures that the mentee's advice is valued and trusted. **TrustEngine** plays a pivotal role in this, utilising sophisticated algorithms to match individuals in ways that foster these deeper alignments, ensuring that each pairing is grounded in compatibility.

Research shows that complementary rather than similar leadership and learning styles often foster more effective relationships, as clients can gain new perspectives and challenge their own assumptions through the differing viewpoints of their mentors (Scoular & Linley, 2006). **TrustEngine** helps identify these complementary traits, ensuring that modern organisations can build mentoring

relationships where differing perspectives enhance performance and development. Complementary styles encourage dynamic interactions that lead to improved outcomes.

Poor quality matching often leads to frustration and disengagement, undermining the benefits of the mentoring intervention. This costs organisations time, money, and can put employee retention at risk.



Figure 1: The failure modes of mentoring and coaching programs

TrustEngine offers a data-driven approach to ensure that organisations can avoid these pitfalls by scientifically matching mentors and mentees based on factors that are most likely to enhance rapport and trust, including shared values, experiences, and complementary styles.

Key Elements of Match Quality

Rapport and Trust

Rapport is critical for reducing differences between mentor and mentee, making it easier to build a strong, cooperative relationship (Boyce et al., 2010). Trust also plays a central role, as it allows the mentee to feel safe and open during sessions. A trusting relationship ensures that both mentor and mentee can engage deeply with the process, discussing sensitive issues that can facilitate real growth.


TrustEngine offers a solution to help modern organisations maximise rapport and trust by using its data-driven approach to match mentors and mentees based on shared values and behavioural compatibility, ensuring that trust is established early in the process.

Commitment

A successful mentoring relationship is marked by mutual commitment. This commitment manifests both in task-related behaviours (such as punctuality and preparedness) and in emotional engagement, where both parties are invested in achieving the mentee's goals (O'Broin & Palmer, 2010). **TrustEngine** assists by ensuring that the initial match leads to a higher level of commitment, as mentors and mentees are paired based on shared values and complementary goals, fostering a deeper, more engaged relationship from the outset.

Complementarity

A complementary relationship, where the roles of mentor and mentee align effectively, is also crucial for mentoring success. Mentors typically act as facilitators, guiding their mentees toward self-



awareness and growth. Mentees, in turn, need to be willing to accept the mentor's guidance and take ownership of their developmental journey. **TrustEngine** enables organisations to pinpoint the best matches by analysing complementary skills and characteristics, ensuring that both mentor and mentee can collaborate effectively and maximise the potential of their partnership.

Co-orientation

Co-orientation refers to the shared understanding and goals between mentor and mentee. When both parties are aligned in their views of the relationship and the broader objectives of the mentoring process, the chances of success are greatly enhanced. **TrustEngine** facilitates this by offering insights into shared goals and values during the matching process. Open communication channels allow both mentor and mentee to stay attuned to each other's needs, fostering a cooperative and effective partnership (Jowett et al., 2012).

Organisational Context and Match Quality

Mentoring programs are more effective when organisations support the matching process by utilising all available data and taking a wholistic approach to the program. **TrustEngine** is instrumental in this area, allowing Managers of mentoring programs to examine every aspect of the mentor and mentee match, the relative strength of the match compared to other combinations, and make informed decisions based on accurate, individualised data direct from the source.

This is done through the unique **TrustEngine** 'Match Engine'. With the push of a single button, the Match Engine can guide you on important strategies such as:

- **Individual Matching** – All your individual participants are matched with their top partner ensuring the best match combination possible
- **Group Matching** – When participants are part of predefined groups that cannot be separated – matching groups to their top group partner
- **Levelling Resources** – When you have real world constraints that each mentor/coach must have roughly equal number of matches without sacrificing match quality

TrustEngine differentiates itself by going 'narrow and deep' with a sole focus on the matching process. The **TrustEngine** matching strategy drives action by focusing the decision making on what is important for mentoring success – 'the match'.

Contact our team today to discover more about the platform or to join many delighted organisations in helping communicate and demonstrate to their most important asset the commitment to their development, and the individualised support for their growth journey.

TrustEngine

info@trustengine.com.au

+61 456 464 773 | +61 435 495 565

References

Boyce, L. A., Jackson, R. J., & Neal, L. J. (2010). Building successful coaching relationships: Examining the influence of coaching alignment on coaching outcomes. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 46(6), 872–903.

De Haan, E., Culpin, V., & Curd, J. (2011). Executive coaching in practice: What determines helpfulness for clients of coaching? *Personnel Review*, 40(1), 24-44.

Gyllensten, K., & Palmer, S. (2007). The coaching relationship: An interpretative phenomenological analysis. *International Coaching Psychology Review*, 2(2), 168-173.

Joo, B. K. (2005). Executive coaching: A conceptual framework from an integrative review of practice and research. *Human Resource Development Review*, 4(4), 462-488.

Mayer, R. C., Davis, J. H., & Schoorman, F. D. (1995). An integrative model of organizational trust. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(3), 709-734.

O'Broin, A., & Palmer, S. (2010). The coaching alliance as a universal concept spanning conceptual approaches. *International Coaching Psychology Review*, 5(2), 135-141.

Scoular, A., & Linley, P. A. (2006). Coaching for results: Using coaching and positive psychology for measurable change. *International Journal of Coaching in Organizations*, 8(1), 9-17.

And many more!